



Concentrate supplementation impact on the performances of extended transitional crossbred Zebu cow

Md. Zoherul Islam, Md. Zakirul Islam, Kanchan Kumar Barman, Md. Sadakatul Bari, Md. Rezwatul Habib, Md. Harun-ur-Rashid, Mohammad Ashiquil Islam✉

Department of Dairy Science, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh 2202, Bangladesh

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 09 May 2019

Accepted: 09 January 2020

Published: 31 March 2020

Keywords:

Feeding,

Body condition score,

Milk yield,

Milk composition,

Post-partum heat period,

Days open

Correspondence:

Mohammad Ashiquil Islam

✉: m.a.islam@bau.edu.bd



ABSTRACT

This research was carried out to evaluate the effect of concentrate supplementation on growth, milk yield and composition, and reproductive responses of crossbred Zebu cows for 120 days (two months pre-partum and two months post-partum). Six (06) advanced pregnant (60 days of pre-partum) crossbred Zebu cows in second parity were equally divided into two groups like control and supplemented. About 22.0 kg mixed green fodders (Para: German =2:1) and 2.0 kg concentrate mixtures (wheat bran, mustard oil cake, common salt and di-calcium phosphate were 82.28, 13.72, 3.70 and 0.30%, respectively) were offered as basal diet to each cow of both groups. Besides these, an extra amount (0.5 kg) of concentrate mixture (wheat bran- 50%, mustard oil cake- 40%, common salt- 2% and di-calcium phosphate- 8%) was supplied to each cow of supplemented group. Results revealed that pre-and post-partum dry matter intake was significantly ($p=0.000$) higher in the supplemented group compared to the control group but concentrate supplementation did not affect on body weight and body condition score of the zebu cows. About 19% of milk production was increased ($p=0.000$) due to concentrate supplementation in the diet of crossbred zebu cows but milk composition remained unchanged ($p>0.05$). On the other hand, the birth weight of calves was non-significantly ($p=0.29$) higher (24%) in additional concentrate supplementation group compared to the control group. Moreover, it was found that a limited amount of concentrate supplementation was able to reduce about 12 days of post-partum heat period ($p=0.02$) and days open ($p=0.01$) of Zebu cows which would be helpful to gear up the tropical dairy animal production. It is concluded that supplying an additional amount of concentrate to the cows before and after 2 months of freshening had a positive influence on milk production as well as reproductive performance.

Copyright ©2020 by authors and BAURES. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC By 4.0).

Introduction

Transition period is the last three weeks of pre-partum and the first three weeks of post-partum that are to be considered very traumatic and/or critical period in the annual cycle of the dairy cow. In this period, the dairy cow must have to cope with different metabolic and hormonal changes as well as different daily routine and ration (Grummer, 1993; Drackley, 1999). Transition period is also the most physiologically and nutritionally stressful period in reproductive cycle of dairy cow (Drackley, 1999). During this period, feed intake reduced conspicuously (Murphy, 1999) while the nutrient demand for fetal growth and commencement of milk synthesis is increased (Olsson, 1996). This period is crucial for the dairy animals to augment body reserves to meet up the demands of the growing fetus as well as to avoid negative energy balance in the body.

According to Goff and Horst (1997), the majority of the health complexities occur during this transition period

and some of the adverse effects of neglected last trimester feeding has been well documented, these are low productive performance (Sasser *et al.*, 1988), death of fetus or reduced viability at birth (Miller, 2012), fatty acid mobilization and loss in body condition (Grummer, 1993), prevalence of peri-parturient health problems like dystocia, retained placenta, ketosis, displaced abomasum and mastitis (Dyk *et al.*, 1995), delayed post-partum estrus and altered reproductive parameters (Das *et al.*, 2007). Randel (1990) mentioned that inadequate nutrition before and/or after calving decrease pregnancy rates, conception at first service and prolongs post-partum estrous. For overcoming these complexities, Dann *et al.* (2006) suggested that feeding management during early dry period is necessary for improving reproductive health as well as production of transition dairy cows. In another research, Mukasa-Mugerwa (1989) demonstrated that supplementation of concentrate in dairy cattle feeding enhances milk yield and fertility of the cow whereas, Keady *et al.* (2001) reported a positive response only in the milk fat content. Majority

Cite this article

Islam, M.Z., Islam, M.Z., Barman, K.K., Bari, M.S., Habib, M.R., Rashid, M.H., Islam, M.A. 2020. Concentrate supplementation impact on the performances of extended transitional crossbred Zebu cow. *Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural University*, 18(1): 117–123. <https://doi.org/10.5455/JBAU.94751>

of the cattle of Bangladesh are Zebu cattle (80-85%) with limited crossing (15-20%) of *Taurus* cattle and found all thirty agro-ecological zones of Bangladesh (ILRI, 2004). Zebu cows are characterized by the low fertility (Chopade *et al.*, 2002), less milk yield per day, slower growth rate, long post-partum estrous and minimal body weight (Bari, 1987). Such impoverished physical condition and reproductive performance of cows are commonly due to low genetics potentials and imbalanced diet consumption (Alam *et al.*, 2009).

Green grass plays a vital role in reproduction of animals and green fodder supply beta-carotene that stimulates corpus luteum to produce progesterone hormone which responsible for maintaining pregnancy in cows (Alam *et al.*, 2009). But small holders' dairy production in tropical or sub-tropical countries including Bangladesh primarily rely on traditionally feeding of dry roughages, agricultural crop-residues and industrial by-products containing high level of ligno-cellulosic materials (Khan *et al.*, 2009), and nutritionally poor agricultural byproducts as concentrate source (Dutta and Sharma, 2004). This often leads to feeding of a nutritionally imbalanced ration which contains proteins, energy, minerals and vitamins either in excess or shortage relative to the nutrient requirements of the animals (Khan *et al.*, 2009). Productive and reproductive performances of cattle are negatively affected in tropical areas by low forage availability and quality (Hammon *et al.*, 2006; McArt *et al.*, 2013). Singh *et al.* (1997) identify the deficit of digestible crude protein level (50-55% of the requirement) in roughage based feeding systems of lactating cows ration. Such deficiency of crude protein in diet negatively affects the pre- and post-partum weight gains, decreased the percentage of animals showing estrus by 110 days after calving, decreased the first service conception rate, and increased the post-partum estrus interval in dairy animals (Sasser *et al.*, 1988). On the other hand, concentrate supplementation to the dairy ration reflects dry matter intake (Stockdale, 2000), milk production and its quality (Higgs *et al.*, 2013) as well as reproductive efficiency of cows (Mukasa-Mugerwa, 1989).

During pre-partum, high level of concentrate feeding positively influences the birth weight of calf, early resumption of ovarian cyclicity and pregnancy rates (Bellows and Short, 1978). If energy level in diet is low then follicles become unable to mature and follicular atresia occurs. Thus, animal loss their sexual desire followed by absence of estrous cycles (Alam *et al.*, 2009). In addition, poorest body condition of the cows had highest subfertility rate (Morris, 1976) and lower body weight. Such tendency to body weight loss in transition period leads to reduction of fertility as well as pregnancy rate (Haresign, 2013). However, several efforts have been made by the researchers to promote the reproductive potentialities of animals through crossbreeding or upgrading program (Rutledge, 1997) and, dietary manipulation in terms of nutrients (Islam *et*

al., 2019), concentrate supplementation (Prima *et al.*, 2018) and roughage source feed (Habib *et al.*, 2018). Recently Prima *et al.* (2018) found significant alteration in milk production and its constituents, and early resumption of post-partum ovarian activity in Holstein-Friesian crossbred cows through concentrate supplementation. Similarly, Schoonmaker (2013) evidenced that dietary management during transition period have a major impact on health and productivity of dairy cows. Concentrate supplements are used in diet as a nutrition management tool that increase DMI and milk yield of cows (Stockdale, 2000; Bargo *et al.*, 2003).

However, farmers expect to produce sufficient quantities of milk and involution within shortest possible time by crossing the native cattle with exotic breed that increases their nutrient requirement as well but they don't supply extra amount of concentrate considering the physiological condition of cows. Alone such crossbreeding program is not able to bloom as desired to boost up the milk production without considering better nutrition to the animals. Even genetically best animal deteriorates soon in the absence of a sufficient and balanced ration (Shamsuddoha and Edwards, 2000). That's why designing dairy animal feeding based on their physiological status is always of prime importance and supplementation of concentrate is the core of it. Scientific literature regarding the concentrate supplementation to the crossbred Zebu cows during transition period is very limited and farmers have not any idea to evaluate the performance of that *Bos indicus* cows using such feeding strategy. That's why this research work was undertaken to investigate the impact of pre-and post-partum concentrate supplementation on dry matter intake, growth performance, milk yield and its quality, and reproductive performance of Zebu cows.

Materials and Methods

Animals and diets

Experimental protocols of animal feeding were conducted at the Research Dairy Farm of Department of Dairy Science, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Bangladesh. The total duration of the study was 120 days and the first 10 days of which were for adjustment. Seventh month pregnant six crossbred Zebu cows (four Sahiwal × Sindhi cows and two Sahiwal × Red Chittagong cows) in second parity were selected for this experiment and were equally (with regards to genotype also) divided into two groups, namely control and supplemented (each group contains 3 cows). Initial body weight and body condition score of the control and supplemented groups were 284.11±7.28, 284.11±7.23 kg and 3.00±0.00, 3.00±0.00, respectively. Cows were housed in an individual maternity box stall for 60 days pre-partum to 4 days of post-partum period, and thereafter, shifted to the stanchion barn (double rowed, face out system). Around 22 kg mixed roughage [*Parabromia mutica*] and German grass [*Echinochloa crus-galli*]= 2:1] and 2.0 kg concentrate mixture (mix of

82.28, 13.72, 3.70 and 0.30% wheat bran, mustard oil cake, common salt and DCP, respectively) were supplied daily to each Zebu cow of both dietary groups over the experimental period as basal diet. This fixed amount of roughages and concentrate mixture were supplied to the Zebu cows based on existing farm feeding practices. In addition to this basal diet, 500 g of concentrate mixture (25% of total concentrate mixture) was supplied in supplemented/treatment group where wheat bran, mustard oil cake, common salt and di-calcium phosphate were 50.0, 40.0, 2.0 and 8%, respectively. Half of the concentrate mixture was supplied at 07:00 am and the rest at 11:30 am. Subsequently, green grasses were provided once a day at 12:00 pm. Proximate analysis of feed ingredients were assayed as described by AOAC (2005). Ingredients and chemical constituents of the diets are presented in Table 1.

Measurement of cow and calf performance

Individual daily dry matter intake (DMI) was recorded from the supplied feed and feeding orts. Body weight of the cow was estimated at 15 days intervals using Shaffer's formula: Body weight (pound) = $(G^2 \times$

L)/300). The factor 0.4536 was used to convert pound into kg and body condition score (BCS) was estimated at every successive 15 days by the same person using a scale from 1 (emaciated) to 5 (heavy deposited fat) as described by Edmonson *et al.* (1989). Calf birth weight was recorded within half an hour of calving through digital weighing balance. Milk yield per day of each cow was calculated by summing up the yield obtained by hand milking from two shifts (morning and afternoon) and recorded from 5 to 60 days of post-partum. Individual milk samples were collected once weekly from two successive milking for analyzing milk constituents (total solids, solids-not-fat, fat, protein, lactose and ash content). Milk compositional parameters were analyzed by automated milk analyzer (Lactoscan SLP, MILKOTONIC Ltd., Bulgaria) at Dairy Chemistry Laboratory, Department of Dairy Science, Bangladesh Agricultural University. Post-partum heat period (interval between parturition and first sign of heat) of each cow was calculated through frequent observation of external sign of heat after the freshening. In addition, the interval from the date of calving to conception was considered as days open.

Table 1. Ingredient and chemical composition of dietary groups during pre- and post-partum period

	Control	Supplemented
Ingredient composition (g kg⁻¹ DM)		
Para grass	612.50	579.15
German grass	160.00	151.0
Wheat bran	186.23	203.00
Mustard oil cake	31.00	51.00
Common salt	9.50	10.25
Di-calcium Phosphate (DCP)	0.77	5.60
Chemical composition (g kg⁻¹ DM)		
Dry matter	416.33	436.34
Crude protein	92.20	98.89
Crude fiber	272.26	262.55
Ether extract	35.00	36.74
Nitrogen-free-extract	494.24	491.65
Ash	95.96	94.29
ME (MJ kg ⁻¹ DM) [‡]	8.78	8.90
NE (Mcal kg ⁻¹ DM) ^{**}	1.91	1.94

[‡]Metabolizable energy (ME) values were estimated according to the equation of Kears (1982), ME (MJ kg⁻¹ DM) = $[-0.45 + (0.04453 \times \%TDN)] \times 4.184$; TDN is estimated according to the following equations: TDN for roughages (% of DM) = $-17.2649 + (1.2120 \times \%CP) + (0.8352 \times \%NFE) + (2.4637 \times \%EE) + (0.4475 \times \%CF)$; TDN for concentrate (% of DM) = $40.3227 + (0.5398 \times \%CP) + (0.4448 \times \%NFE) + (1.4218 \times \%EE) - (0.7007 \times \%CF)$.

^{**}Net energy (NE) = ME \times 0.93 and, 1 MJ = 0.234 Mcal.

Statistical analysis

Repeated Measures Analysis of Variance (RMANOVA) in Completely Randomized Design was performed to investigate the concentrate supplementation effect on DMI, body weight, BCS, milk yield and its composition in Zebu cows. The time period was treated as the within-subjects factor for measuring the parameters repeatedly on Zebu cows and the diet (independent variable) was considered as the between-subjects factor. In this, antecedent lactation milk yield was deliberated as a covariate for assaying alteration in the current milk yield

of cows. Moreover, independent sample t-test was done to find out the concentrate supplementation impact on calf birth weight and their percentages of dam body weight, post-partum heat period and days open of Zebu cows.

Results and Discussion

Dry matter intake and growth measurements

The data on dry matter intake (DMI) and body growth parameters of cow and calves are presented in Table 2. It was obtained 7% higher pre-partum DMI and 5% post-

partum DMI in concentrate supplemented group compared to the control group of Zebu cows. On a percentage of BW basis, average DMI was significantly greater for cows fed supplemented diet than those fed control diet during pre-partum (3.11% vs. 2.94%; $p=0.000$) and post-partum period (3.26% vs. 3.17%; $p=0.000$). Such progressive trend of DMI by the cow might be due to additional amount (500 g) of concentrate supplementation in diet. This finding is similar to Guo *et al.* (2007) who reported that higher concentrate feeding regime during pre-and post-partum period increases DMI by the cows. Dietary energy influences to the DMI of cows and it was found from this study that supplemented cows received more energy (1.94 Mcal

NE/kg DM) from their diet compared to the control cows (1.91 Mcal NE/kg DM). Hence, DMI of supplemented cows were higher in current study than the control cows. These are consistent with the findings of Rabelo *et al.* (2005) who reported that high energy density diet fed cows (1.63 Mcal NEL/kg, 25% NDF and 47% NFC) had more DMI compared to the cows fed low energy density diet (1.57 Mcal NEL/kg, 30% NDF and 41% NFC). Within-subjects test indicated that time ($p<0.01$) and their interaction with diet ($p<0.05$) showed significant effect on pre-partum DMI but post-partum DMI was found statistically similar ($p>0.05$) in case of time and diet interaction (Table 3).

Table 2. Effect of diets on DMI, body weight, BCS, milk yield, milk composition and reproductive performance of Zebu cows

Parameters	Dietary groups (Mean \pm SE)		p-value
	Control (n=3)	Supplemented (n=3)	
Dry matter intake (DMI) and growth parameters			
Pre-partum DMI (kg/day)	8.66 \pm 0.04	9.23 \pm 0.04	0.000
Post-partum DMI (kg/day)	8.49 \pm 0.03	8.91 \pm 0.03	0.000
Pre-partum body weight (kg)	293.75 \pm 7.19	296.37 \pm 7.19	0.82
Post-partum body weight (kg)	267.13 \pm 7.06	273.25 \pm 7.06	0.60
Overall body weight (kg)	279.14 \pm 16.40	283.37 \pm 15.67	0.52
Pre-partum BCS (5.0)	3.00 \pm 0.19	3.25 \pm 0.19	0.47
Post-partum BCS (5.0)	2.58 \pm 0.36	2.83 \pm 0.36	0.67
Overall BCS (5.0)	2.78 \pm 0.17	3.00 \pm 0.17	0.40
Calf birth weight (kg)	15.68 \pm 0.33	19.44 \pm 2.68	0.29
% of dam body weight	5.87 \pm 0.08	7.21 \pm 0.99	0.31
Milk yield and its constituents			
Milk yield (kg d ⁻¹)	4.55 \pm 0.04	5.41 \pm 0.04	0.000
Milk composition (g kg ⁻¹)			
Total solids	130.26 \pm 1.55	130.04 \pm 1.55	0.92
Fat	42.70 \pm 1.02	42.41 \pm 1.02	0.85
Solids-not-fat	87.56 \pm 0.84	87.63 \pm 0.84	0.96
Protein	34.49 \pm 1.25	35.83 \pm 1.25	0.49
Lactose	46.07 \pm 0.58	45.08 \pm 0.58	0.29
Ash	6.64 \pm 0.09	6.61 \pm 0.09	0.83
Reproductive parameters			
Post-partum heat period (d)	98.00 \pm 2.08	86.33 \pm 2.33	0.02
Days open (d)	121.67 \pm 2.03	109.67 \pm 2.05	0.01

Body weight of the cows did not varied significantly by the concentrate supplementation in both pre-partum ($p=0.82$) and post-partum period ($p=0.60$) (Table 2). It might be due to reaching maximum growing stage of cows and literally proved that growth of the animals did not increase in significant trend after reaching certain age (Wiltbank *et al.*, 1966). The within-subjects test indicated that time and, interaction of diet and time has significant ($p<0.01$) effects on both pre- and post-partum body weight of cows. Like body weight, body condition score of cows at pre-partum ($p=0.47$) and post-partum ($p=0.67$) period remains statistically similar but numerical value was found higher in supplemented group compared to the control group. Overall BCS of cows increased significantly ($p=0.01$) with the advancement of time but time and diet interaction was insignificant (Table 3). Though cows in supplemented

group had received extra amount of concentrate mixture compared to the control group but BCS was not increased significantly that might be due to pregnancy stress at pre-partum and body tissue losses through milk production at post-partum period. This statement is supported by Khan *et al.* (2004) who reported that BCS of post-partum cow didn't improve after allowing concentrate supplementation during late pregnancy.

In addition to the above mentioned variables, Table 2 contains result on calf birth weight and the result exposed that calf birth weight was non-significantly ($p=0.29$) influenced by the concentrate supplementation. Again, it was noticeable that additional amount of concentrate mixture supplementation to the cows gave 24% higher newborn calves body weight compared to the control group calves. Similarly, Prima *et al.* (2018)

found 11% higher Holstein-Friesian crossbred calf birth weight in concentrate supplemented (0.5 kg/h/d) group than the control group. Similarly, average calf birth weight of control and supplemented group was 6 and 7% of the dam's body weight, respectively but remain statistically similar ($p=0.31$). On the contrary, supplementation during pre-partum period aids in growth of fetus as over one-half of the fetal growth ensues in the course of last trimester of gestation which augments calves birth weight (Prior and Laster, 1979). Similarly, Das *et al.* (2007) and Bayemi *et al.* (2014) reported that birth weight of calves will be higher when the cows supplied additional quantity of concentrate feed at earlier stage of calving. This evidence is highly corroborated with the findings of Sihag and Yadav (2007) in crossbred cattle, and Mahiyuddin and Praharani (2010) in Murrah buffalo and they stated that if dam's fed better nutrition at early stages of pregnancy then the born calves had greater birth weight than the calves born from usual dietary dams.

Yield of milk and its composition

Effect of concentrate supplementation on milk yield is presented in Table 2 and it implies that daily milk yield was significantly ($p=0.000$) affected by the pre-and post-partum diets. It could be inferred from the test of within-subjects effects that time and their interaction with diet both has significant ($p<0.01$) influence on milk yield of cows. Results indicated that those cow fed 25% more concentrate that produce 19% more ($p=0.000$) milk than the cows of control group. This significant increase of milk yield in supplemented group may be due to extra amount of concentrate supplementation during dry period to early stage of lactation. This finding is strongly agreed with Singh *et al.* (2003) and Das *et al.* (2007) who stated that extra feeding influences the development of secretory tissues in mammary gland which helpful for increasing the milk yield. Table 2 had the findings of milk compositional parameters and it was noticed that pre-and post-partum diet didn't alter any milk constituents of Zebu cows.

Table 3. Tests of within-subjects effect on DMI, growth, milk yield and its compositional parameters

Parameters	Source	F-value
<i>DMI and growth parameters</i>		
Pre-partum DMI	Time	1066.46**
	Time \times diet	4.92*
Post-partum DMI	Time	969.55**
	Time \times diet	2.37 ^{NS}
Pre-partum body weight	Time	1834.45**
	Time \times diet	19.909**
Post-partum body weight	Time	3417**
	Time \times diet	123.66**
Pre-partum BCS	Time	0.93 ^{NS}
	Time \times diet	0.93 ^{NS}
Post-partum BCS	Time	1.34 ^{NS}
	Time \times diet	0.15 ^{NS}
Overall BCS	Time	6.37**
	Time \times diet	0.37 ^{NS}
<i>Milk yield and its constituents</i>		
Milk yield	Time	242.45**
	Time \times diet	23.99**
Total solids	Time	56.35**
	Time \times diet	9.72**
Fat	Time	64.86**
	Time \times diet	5.87**
Solids-not-fat	Time	11.47**
	Time \times diet	7.46**
Protein	Time	3.41**
	Time \times diet	10.25**
Lactose	Time	18.88**
	Time \times diet	6.75**
Ash	Time	2.02 ^{NS}
	Time \times diet	0.45 ^{NS}

**Significant at $p<0.01$, *significant at $p<0.05$ and NS, non-significant.

Milk protein content of Holstein-Friesian crossbred cow was increased significantly through supplying extra amount (0.5 kg/h/d) of concentrate mixture (Prima *et al.*, 2018), but did not happened so through feeding supplemented diet in this study. With the advancement of time and, interaction of time and diet indicated that significant ($p<0.01$) impact exists among all the

constituents of milk except mineral content (Table 3). This finding is supported by Mac Rae *et al.* (1988) who opined that pre- and post-partum diets have very minute effect on milk composition especially on mineral and carbohydrate fractions. Similarly, Murphy (1999) observed that feeding cows more than normal before calving produces milk with negligible change in milk

constituents. Furthermore, supplementation of concentrate to the graze dairy cows increases protein, fat and carbohydrate content in milk (Hills *et al.*, 2015). The variation in the different report is may be due to the variation of experimental units and basal diet that's used in different study.

Reproductive performance of Zebu cows

Through supplying extra amount of concentrate (500 g) to the crossbred zebu cows brings remarkable changes in post-partum heat period ($p=0.02$) and days open ($p=0.01$) than cows fed control diet (Table 2). Results also delineated that both post-partum heat period and days open of crossbred zebu cows reduced by 12 days in concentrate supplemented group than the control group. This finding is in agreement with Prima *et al.* (2018) and Singh *et al.* (2003) who found similar results through providing of a higher plane of nutrition during the pre- and post-partum periods. This result is supported by Cavestany *et al.* (2003) who showed in dairy cattle that extending pre-partum nutrition reduces the length of post-partum heat period (49.9 ± 7.1 days) in Holstein cows. Negative energy balance and rate of mobilization of body reserve appeared to be directly related to the post-partum interval to the first ovulation and lowered the conception rate (Butler and Smith, 1989). On the contrary, sometimes better nutrition and feeding level to the cows at transition stage didn't reduce post-partum heat and days open because these reproductive characteristics are controlled by genotype, environment and their joint interaction (Bayemi *et al.*, 2014). According to Montiel and Ahuja (2005), malnutrition is responsible for prolonged post-partum anestrus and this is severe in those cows depend on forages only to meet their nutrient requirements. Beside this feeding management, heredity, environmental and overall management factors influenced the duration of anestrus in cows.

Conclusions

This study demonstrated that additional concentrate mixture supplementation with existing feeding (concentrate + green grass) to the crossbred Zebu cows had significantly increased dry matter intake, higher milk yield, early resumption of ovarian cyclicity and reduced days open. However, body condition score, calf birth weight and milk constituents were not affected by the concentrate supplemented diet. For improving the ration quality of advanced pregnant and early lactating cows, this study could provide guidelines for the tropical or sub-tropical countries like Bangladesh where cows are frequently fed an inadequate amount of concentrate.

Acknowledgements

The present work was conducted as a part of a BAURES funded project entitled "Effect of supplementation of

concentrates to the advanced pregnant dairy animals on their performance" (Project Number: 2015/90/BAU).

References

- Alam, M.G.S., Rahman, M.A., Khatun, M. and Ahmed, J.U. 2009. Feed supplementation and weight change, milk yield and post-partum oestrus in Desi cows. *Bangladesh Veterinarian*, 26: 39-47. <https://doi.org/10.3329/bvet.v26i2.4949>
- AOAC. 2005. Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemist, 18th ed. *Horwitz William Publication*, Washington, DC.
- Bargo, F., Muller, L., Kolver, E. and Delahoy, J. 2003. Invited review: Production and digestion of supplemented dairy cows on pasture. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 86: 1-42. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(03\)73581-4](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(03)73581-4)
- Bari, A.K.F. 1987. Present condition and problems in education for rural development with an emphasis on feed production from waste products and new resources. *Proceeding of the Obihiro Asian Seminar on Education for Rural Development (OASERD)*, September 17-30, Obihiro University of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, Japan.
- Bayemi, P.H., Nsongka, M.V., Leinyuy, I., Webb, E.C., Nchadji, J.M., Cavestany, D. and Bryant, M. 2014. Effect of pre-partum feed supplementation on post-partum ovarian activity, milk production and calf growth of small holder dairy Cattle in Cameroon. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 47: 153-158. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-014-0700-8>
- Bellows, R.A. and Short, R.E. 1978. Effects of pre calving feed level on birth weight, calving difficulty and subsequent fertility. *Journal of Animal Science*, 46: 1522-1528. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas1978.4661522x>
- Butler, W.R. and Smith, R.D. 1989. Interrelationships between energy balance and postpartum reproductive function in dairy cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 72: 767-783. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(89\)79169-4](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(89)79169-4)
- Cavestany, D., Corea, E., Silva, D. and Meikle, A. 2003. Dairy cattle reproduction in tropics. Breed differences and metabolic profiles. In *IAEA. Regional coordination meeting, 21st-26th July Asuncion, Paraguay*.
- Chopade, M.M., Ali, S.Z. and Kuralkar, S.V. 2002. Comparative study of F₁ and F₂ crossbred cows with reference to productive traits. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 72: 916-917.
- Dann, H.M., Litherland, N.B., Underwood, J.P., Bionaz, M., D'angelo, A., McFadden, J.W. and Drackley, J.K. 2006. Diets during far-off and close-up dry periods affect periparturient metabolism and lactation in multiparous cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 89: 3563-3577. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(06\)72396-7](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(06)72396-7)
- Das, K.S., Das, N. and Ravikumar, G.V.P.P. 2007. Effect of 'steaming-up' on subsequent production in dairy cows. *The Indian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 77(7): 583-585.
- Drackley, J.K. 1999. Biology of dairy cows during the transition period: The final frontier? *Journal of Dairy Science*, 82: 2259-2273. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(99\)75474-3](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(99)75474-3)
- Dutta, N. and Sharma, K. 2004. Replacement of wheat bran by rice polishings as an economic supplement to wheat straw diet for lactating buffaloes in northern plains of India. *Animal Nutrition and Feed Technology*, 4: 113-120.
- Dyk, P.B. 1995. Prepartum non-esterified fatty acids in plasma are higher in cows developing periparturient health problems. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 78: 264.
- Goff, J.P. and Horst, R.L. 1997. Physiological changes at parturition and their relationship to metabolic disorders. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 80: 1260-1268. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(97\)76055-7](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(97)76055-7)
- Edmonson, A.J., Lean, I.J., Weaver, L.D., Farver, T. and Webster, G. 1989. A body condition scoring chart for Holstein dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 72: 68-78.

- Grummer, R.R., 1993. Etiology of lipid-related metabolic disorders in periparturient dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 76: 3882-3896. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(93\)77729-2](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(93)77729-2)
- Guo, J., Peters, R.R. and Kohn, R.A. 2007. Effect of a transition diet on production performance and metabolism in periparturient dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 90: 5247-5258. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2007-0326>
- Habib, M.R., Rashid, M.H., Islam, M.A., Majumder, S., Islam, K.M.S., Ahmed, S., Alam M.S. and Vargas-Bello-Pérez, E. 2018. Influence of green grass-based diets on growth and reproductive performance in dairy heifers. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 50: 889-895. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-018-1514-x>
- Hammon, D.S., Evjen, I.M., Dhiman, T.R., Goff, J.P., Walters, J.L. 2006. Neutrophil function and energy status in Holstein cows with terine health disorders. *Vet Immunol Immunopathol*, 113:21-29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.2006.03.022>
- Haresign, W. and Cole, D.J.A. 2013. Body condition, milk yield and reproduction in cattle. *Recent Advances in Animal Nutrition-1979: Studies in the Agricultural and Food Sciences*, 107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-408-71012-1.50013-7>
- Higgs, R.J., Sheahan, A.J., Mandok, K., Van Amburgh, M.E. and Roche, J.R. 2013. The effect of starch-, fiber-, or sugar-based supplements on nitrogen utilization in grazing dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 96: 3857-3866. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2012-6117>
- Hills, J.L., Wales, W.J., Dunshea, F.R., Garcia, S.C. and Roche, J.R. 2015. Invited review: An evaluation of the likely effects of individualized feeding of concentrate supplements to pasture-based dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 98: 1363-1401. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2014-8475>
- ILRI (International Livestock Research Institute). 2004. Status, Trends, Utilization and Performance of FAnGR of Bangladesh, Consultancy Report of GEF-UNEP-ILRI FAnGR Asia Project. ILRI., Kenya, pp: 49.
- Islam, M.S., Habib, M.R., Islam, M.A. and Rashid, M.H. 2019. Effects of protein concentrate supplementation-based diet on growth and nutritional status in dairy heifers. *Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural University*, 17: 45-49. <https://doi.org/10.3329/jbau.v17i1.40662>
- Keady, T.W.J., Mayne, C. S., Fitzpatrick, D. A. and McCoy, M. A. 2001. Effect of concentrate feed level in late gestation on subsequent milk yield, milk composition, and fertility of dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 84:1468-1479. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(01\)70180-4](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(01)70180-4)
- Kearl, L. 1982. Nutrient Requirement of Ruminant in Developing Countries. Utah State University, Logan, USA. Pp.115-122.
- Khan, M. J., Peters, K. J., & Uddin, M. M. 2009. Feeding strategy for improving dairy cattle productivity in small holder farm in Bangladesh. *Bangladesh Journal of Animal Science*, 38: 67-85. <https://doi.org/10.3329/bjas.v38i1-2.9914>
- Khan, M.A.A., Islam, M.N., Khan, M.A.S. and Akbar, M.A. 2004. Effects of feeding high and low energy levels during late pregnancy on performance of crossbred dairy cows and their calves. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Science*, 17: 947-953. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2004.947>
- Mac Rae, J.C., Buttery, P.J. and Beever, D.E. 1988: Nutrition interaction in the dairy cow. In: P Garnsworthy (Editors), *Nutrition and Lactation in the dairy cow*. Butterworths, London, England, pp. 55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-408-00717-7.50009-5>
- Mahiyuddin, P., Praharani, L. 2010. Oil palm solid waste on milk production of Murrah buffalo. *Revista Veterinaria*, 21:10-18.
- McArt, J. A., Nydam, D. V., Oetzel, G. R., Overton, T. R., & Ospina, P. A. (2013). Elevated non-esterified fatty acids and β -hydroxybutyrate and their association with transition dairy cow performance. *The Veterinary Journal*, 198: 560-570. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2013.08.011>
- Miller, W.J. 2012. *Introduction and Utilization of Nutrients by Dairy Cattle*. In: Dairy cattle feeding and nutrition. Academic press, Inc., New York, NY, USA. pp. 1-21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-497650-4.50007-2>
- Montiel, F and Ahuja, C. 2005. Body condition and suckling as factors influencing the duration of postpartum anestrus in cattle: a review. *Animal Reproduction Science*, 85: 1-26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2003.11.001>
- Morris, R.S . 1976. Diagnosis of infertility in larger dairy herds. Proceeding # 28: Refresher Course for Veterinarians, Sydney, Australia.
- Mukasa-Mugerwa, E. 1989. A review of reproductive performance of female *Bos indicus* (Zebu) cattle. ILCA Monograph No 6, Addis Abba.
- Murphy, J.J. 1999. Effect of dry period protein feeding on postpartum milk production and composition. *Livestock Production Science*, 57: 169-179. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-6226\(98\)00164-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-6226(98)00164-X)
- Olsson, G. 1996. Effects of feeding strategy before calving on dairy cow performance. In: Agrari, Vol. 18. Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden.
- Prima, N.Z., Khan, M.A.S., Habib, M.R. and Islam, M.A. 2018. Effect of pre-partum and post-partum concentrate supplementation on milk yield and quality, calf birth weight and post-partum heat period of Holstein-Friesian crossbred cows. *Fundamental and Applied Agriculture*, 3: 579-585. <https://doi.org/10.5455/faa.297521>
- Prior, R.L. and Laster, D.B. 1979. Development of the bovine fetus. *Journal of Animal Science*, 48: 1546-1553. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas1979.4861546x>
- Rabelo, E., Rezende, R.L., Bertics, S.J. and Grummer, R.R. 2005. Effects of pre-and postfresh transition diets varying in dietary energy density on metabolic status of periparturient dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 88: 4375-4383. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(05\)73124-6](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(05)73124-6)
- Randel, R.D. 1990. Nutrition and postpartum rebreeding in cattle. *Journal of Animal Science*, 68:853. <https://doi.org/10.2527/1990.683853x>
- Rutledge, J.J. 1997. Cattle breeding systems enabled by *in vitro* embryo production. *Embryo Transfer Newsletter*, 15: 14-18.
- Sasser, R.G., Williams, R.J., Bull, R.C., Ruder, C.A. and Falk, D.G. 1988. Postpartum reproductive performance in crude protein-restricted beef cows: return to estrus and conception. *Journal of Animal Science*, 66: 3033-3039. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas1988.66123033x>
- Schoonmaker, J 2013. Effect of maternal nutrition on calf health and growth. Proceedings from the 2013 Tri-State Dairy Nutrition conference.
- Shamsuddoha, A.K. and Edwards, G. 2000. Dairy Industry in Bangladesh: Problems and Prospects. In: AARES Conference, School of Business, La Trobe University, Australia.
- Sihag, S. and Yadav, K.R. 2007. Effect of high plane of nutrition on performance of crossbred cattle. *The Indian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 77: 513-515.
- Singh, J., Singh, B., Wadhwa, M. and Bakshi, M.P.S. 2003. Effect of level of feeding on the performance of crossbred cows during pre- and post-partum periods. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 16: 1749-1754. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2003.1749>
- Singh, K., Habib, G., Siddiqui, M.M. and Ibrahim, M.N.M. 1997. Dynamics of feed resources in mixed farming systems of south Asia. In: C Renard (Editors), *Crop Residues in Sustainable Mixed Crop/Livestock Farming Systems*. CAB International, Wallingford. pp. 113-130
- Stockdale, C.R. 2000. Levels of pasture substitution when concentrates are fed to grazing dairy cows in northern Victoria. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 40: 913-921. <https://doi.org/10.1071/EA00034>
- Wiltbank, J.N. 1965. *Influence of total feed and protein intake on reproductive performance in the beef female through second calving* (Vol. 1314). US Department of Agriculture.